

PLANNING TOOLBOX

1. Zoning

- Identifying a desired state for a given landscape
- Identifying a desired level of acceptable change for a given landscape
- Permitting or excluding specific land use activities
- Identifying a % of multi-use
- Identifying a % of specific use(s)

Benefits:

- Provides geographical clarity
- Visual – easy to comprehend

Shortcomings:

- Without quantifiable or prescriptive definitions for each zone, they can lack clarity or certainty, or make it difficult to distinguish one zone from another.
 - Can get confusing if there are zones within zones, or exceptions (ex. Dempster Highway in NYPC Plan)
 - Sometimes requires complicated legislative processes (i.e. protected areas).
-

2. Timing Windows

- Seasonally:
 - to avoid conflicts
 - to avoid key wildlife activities
 - to avoid sensitive habitat (i.e. wetlands in spring/summer)
- Day to Day: Staggering Usage:
 - to avoid conflicts
- Opportunistic:
 - Based on wildlife presence (i.e. caribou, nesting or staging birds, etc.)
- Specific to:
 - conflicting land use activities
 - particular wildlife species/habitat
 - particular geographic locations of conflict

Benefits:

- Provides flexibility.
- Less restrictive or “invasive”; more accommodating.

Shortcomings:

- Difficult to enforce.
 - Difficult to predict.
 - Land use activities are often complicated further by weather conditions.
-

3. Thresholds / Carrying Capacity

- Specific to one or more land use activity
- Specific to sub-regions
- Specific to landscape types
- Specific to particular wildlife habitats
- Specific to seasons (e.g. water, wildlife)

- Thresholds must:
 - a. Act as an effective indicator that accurately reflects the status of ecological integrity.
 - b. Be easy to track and monitor the success of implementation.
 - c. Be flexible, in terms of adjusting to best available information to justify the threshold.
 - d. Be scientifically sound, or an accurate reflection of societal thresholds.
 - e. Be accompanied by an action plan if the thresholds are being approached.

- % Footprint ($X \text{ km}^2/\text{LMU}$)
- % Wilderness ($X \text{ km}^2/\text{LMU}$) (reverse footprint measure)
- Linear Density ($X \text{ km}/\text{km}^2/\text{LMU}$)
- # of recreational paddlers on a river (# of people per day, week, month?)
- Frequency of creek crossings ($\text{crossings}/\text{km}^2$) ($\text{crossings}/\text{creek}$)
- # of new access roads – winter or all-season ($\#/\text{LMU}$) ($\#/\text{sub-watershed}$)
- Water Quality ($X \text{ ppm}$ within $X \text{ m}$ of outflow)
- Water Consumption ($X \text{ l}/\text{min.}$)

Benefits:

- One of few methods of monitoring and addressing cumulative impacts.
- Easier to monitor success of implementation.
- Provides greater clarity, accountability and transparency.
- More tangible targets/limits.

Shortcomings:

- Requires periodic reporting by the proponents and monitoring by the land managers.
 - Often need baseline data in advance.
 - Difficult to choose thresholds: must be good indicators, easy to monitor.
 - Science behind targets is rarely conclusive.
-

4. General Management Directions

- Apply to the entire Planning Region
- Apply to particular land use activities throughout the Planning Region
- Apply to particular land use activities in particular locations in the Planning Region, or throughout the Planning Region
- Apply to particular LMUs
- Apply to particular landscape types
- Apply to particular wildlife habitat
- Apply to particular seasons
- Inclusion or exclusion of particular land use activities.

Benefits:

- Can be applied to very specific issues/concerns.
- Less “invasive” than excluding an activity
- Doesn’t require legislation or (sometimes) legislation.

Shortcomings:

- More difficult for land managers to keep track of all of the GMDs.
 - More difficult to track status of implementation.
 - Could be confusing to follow/understand, given the numerous possible variations.
-

5. Best Management Practices

- YTG Oil & Gas Branch’s BMPs
- WTAY Wilderness Tourism
- Industry standards / BMPs
- NGO recommended BMPs (ex. Pembina Institute)
- Commission-drafted BMPs

Benefits:

- Sometimes already endorsed by industry and land managers.
- Sometimes tested and tried elsewhere.
- Usually offer clear direction for land users and YESAB.

Shortcomings:

- Does not address cumulative impacts. (“You can BMP into oblivion!” – George Hegmann)
- BMPs in the Plan could become obsolete when standards & technologies change/improve.
- BMPs are sometimes set on economic parameters (i.e. “BMPs are OK as long as they don’t hinder the profitability of a development.”) rather than ecological.

6. Communications Guidelines

- Recommend communications protocols between land users to minimize conflicts.
 - aerial mineral exploration and outfitters
 - air charter companies shuttling tourism/rec paddlers
 - mineral and outfitting camps on common lakes/sites
 - mineral or oil exploration and trappers, FN communities
 - mineral or oil/gas exploration and biologists

Benefits:

- Most effective for addressing land use conflicts.
- Generates dialogue among land users.
- Can result in greater efficiencies – i.e. cost sharing/savings for land users, fewer ecological impacts (i.e. fewer flights, fewer trails/roads, etc)
- Puts onus on the land users.

Shortcomings:

- Not good at addressing conflicts associated with ecological values.
- More difficult for land managers to monitor and ensure compliance.
- Mostly a voluntary provision. Success depends on personalities and good will.